RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) Attorney Docket No.: Q83533

U.S. Application No.: 10/518,788

## REMARKS

In the Advisory Action Mailed January 3, 2008, the Examiner stated that Applicants' "arguments drawn to methods of making the claimed compounds are not seen to be convincing, as there are no methods of making the claimed compounds present."

Applicants advise that these arguments are relevant to the issues of motivation to combine the references and expectation of success. More particularly, because no prior art teaches how to make the claimed compounds, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to combine the references. Further, since one of ordinary skill in the art would not know how to make the claimed compounds, one would not expect the combination to be successful.

Accordingly, Applicants submit, respectfully, that the Examiner has not established a prima facie case of obviousness, and the rejections should be removed.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) Attorney Docket No.: Q83533 U.S. Application No.: 10/518,788

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 30,951

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

23373 CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: January 9, 2008